

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O., Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,699	08/29/2003	Ronald F. Whitehouse	93139pus	7697
6431 75	90 11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
LANG MICH	ENER LLP		DWIVEDI, V	IKANSHA S
BCE PLACE SUITE 2500, 181 BAY STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TORONTO, ON M5J 2T7			3746	
CANADA				,

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		MAINTENDUCE BONALD E			
Notice of Abandonment	10/650,699 Examiner	WHITEHOUSE, RONALD F. Art Unit			
		07.10			
The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Vikansha S. Dwivedi	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>22 May 2006</u>.</li> <li>(a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> <li>(b)  A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.</li> </ol>					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the					
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.					
7. The reason(s) below:					
See Continuation Sheet					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20061126			

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Verified with Mrs. Whitehousethe inventor's widow.

Vikansha Dwivedi November 26, 2006.

> EHUD GARTENBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Ehred Cartenbey